JUL -1 2015

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

	•	2013	
BY DEPU		LEY, CLERK	Ċ
		W	

KENNETH VALENTINE AWE,)	Civil Action No. 7:14-cv-00665		
Plaintiff,)			
)			
v.)	FINAL ORDER		
)			
BENNY MULLINS, et al.,)	By: Hon. Jackson L. Kiser		
Defendants.)	Senior United States District Judge		

In accordance with the written Memorandum Opinion entered this day, it is hereby

ADJUDGED and ORDERED

that leave for Plaintiff to proceed in <u>forma pauperis</u> is **RESCINDED**; Defendants' motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED**; and this action is **STRICKEN** from the active docket.*

The Clerk shall send copies of this Order and the accompanying Memorandum Opinion to the parties.

ENTER: This 164 day of July 2015.

Senior United States District Judge

^{*}At the time of this Final Order's entry, Plaintiff has had at least three non-habeas civil actions dismissed as frivolous, as malicious, or for failing to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. See, e.g., Awe v. Warden Mathena, No. 7:14-cv-00241 (W.D. Va. July 3, 2014) (dismissed as frivolous); Awe v. Clarke, No. 7:14-cv-00248 (W.D. Va. July 3, 2014) (dismissed as frivolous); Awe v. Red Onion State Prison, No. 7:13-cv-00487 (W.D. Va. Mar. 17, 2014) (dismissed for failing to state a claim); see also Coleman v. Tollefson, No. 13-1333, ___ U.S. __, 2015 U.S. LEXIS 3201, at *8-9, 2015 WL 2340838, at *3-4 (May 18, 2015) (holding a "strike" dismissal is counted regardless to the timing of a subsequent appeal); McLean v. United States, 566 F.3d 391, 399 (4th Cir. 2009) (noting dismissals without prejudice for frivolousness should not be exempted from 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)).